

Rebuilding Depleted Fish Stocks: Paradigms, Challenges, and Pathways to Sustainability

Chukwu. K. O. and Deekae S. N

Department of Fisheries and Aquatic Environment,
Rivers State University, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

Corresponding Author Email: king4c2004@yahoo.com

<https://doi.org/10.56201/ijaes.vol.12.no9.2026.pg101.104>

Abstract

The overexploitation of marine resources has led to a global crisis in fisheries, with a significant proportion of stocks classified as depleted or overfished. This article synthesizes current research on rebuilding depleted fish stocks, evaluating the biological, economic, and governance frameworks essential for recovery. Drawing on longitudinal data and recent meta-analyses, the study identifies that while approximately 63% of assessed stocks require rebuilding, successful recovery is possible through the rigorous application of harvest control rules and ecosystem-based management. However, the efficacy of these measures is increasingly challenged by anthropogenic climate change, which alters stock productivity and shifts species distributions. The article explores the "good, bad, and ugly" of historical rebuilding plans, the economic high-return investment nature of conservation, and the necessity of inclusive governance models. It concludes that achieving a sustainable future for global fisheries requires a synergy between limited global warming (1.5°C) and adaptive, science-based management that accounts for both ecological resilience and the socio-economic needs of coastal communities.

Keywords: Fisheries management, Stock recovery, Sustainable fisheries, Marine conservation, Overfishing

1. Introduction: The Global Imperative for Recovery

The historical trajectory of global fisheries has been defined by a transition from local subsistence to industrial-scale overexploitation. By the early 21st century, it became evident that the "frontier" of unfished oceans had vanished, replaced by a landscape of depleted populations and altered marine ecosystems (Worm et al., 2009). Currently, the vast majority of assessed fish stocks worldwide—estimated at 63%—remain in a state that requires active rebuilding to reach levels capable of producing Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY) (Worm et al., 2009; Murawski, 2010). This depletion is not merely a biological concern; it threatens the food security of billions of people who rely on fish as their primary source of animal protein (Monash University, 2026). Rebuilding is defined as the process of reducing exploitation rates below the level required for MSY ($u < u_{MSY}$) to allow biomass to recover to target levels (Worm et al., 2009). Despite international commitments to sustainability, only a fraction of depleted stocks are officially classified as "rebuilding," and even fewer have achieved "rebuilt" status (Murawski, 2010). The complexity of this task arises from the interplay of biological recovery rates, socio-economic pressures, and the emerging volatility of the marine environment under climate change (Froese et al., 2026; White et al., 2026).

2. Theoretical Frameworks and Management Paradigms

2.1 The MSY Paradigm and its Limitations

Traditional fisheries management has long centered on the concept of Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY), the largest average catch that can be captured from a stock under prevailing environmental conditions. However, recent analyses suggest that managing for MSY alone often fails to rebuild depleted stocks because it does not provide a sufficient "buffer" for recovery, especially in the face of environmental fluctuations. In many European ecoregions, overfishing remains widespread despite MSY-focused policies, necessitating a shift toward more conservative exploitation patterns that prioritize biomass accumulation over immediate extraction (Froese et al., 2026).

2.2 Rebuilding Trajectories: The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly

Research into historical rebuilding plans reveals a spectrum of success. Of stocks where fishing mortality was successfully reduced, nearly all showed signs of recovery (Murawski, 2010). However, "ugly" scenarios often involve chronically overfished stocks where biomass targets are set far beyond what has been achieved in documented history, leading to skepticism among stakeholders (Brodziak et al., 2008; Murawski, 2010). Successful plans ("the good") are characterized by pre-negotiated harvest control rules that prevent "short-stopping" recovery when biomass first begins to increase (Murawski, 2010).

3. Biological and Environmental Drivers of Recovery

3.1 Stock Productivity and Climate Change

The productivity of fish stocks—driven by recruitment, growth, and natural mortality—is no longer a static baseline. Anthropogenic climate change is a significant "overlying threat" that necessitates adjustments to rebuilding plans (PMC, 2026). Studies across 710 stocks indicate that while global productivity decline may be moderate (~1% to 3% by 2100), there will be clear "winners and losers" based on regional biophysical changes such as sea temperature and chlorophyll concentration (PMC, 2026; ResearchGate, 2025).

3.2 Evolutionary Responses to Warming

A critical discovery in recent life-history modeling is that fish are evolving in response to warmer waters. While these adaptations help individual fish survive, they often result in faster growth but earlier maturation and smaller maximum sizes. This "evolutionary rescue" is paradoxically detrimental to fisheries, as it is predicted to decrease sustainable yields and increase economic losses by up to 50% compared to scenarios without evolutionary change (White et al., 2026).

4. Socio-Economic Dimensions of Rebuilding

4.1 Conservation as a High-Return Investment

Rebuilding fish stocks is increasingly viewed through the lens of the "conservation economy." In the United States, investments in fish and wildlife management generate over \$115 billion in annual economic activity, supporting 575,000 jobs (Fisheries.org, 2025). For specific fisheries, such as northern cod and Pacific herring, the economic gains from rebuilding are projected to be seven to eleven times higher than the status quo (ResearchGate, 2025).

4.2 Intergenerational Equity and Short-term Costs

One of the primary hurdles to rebuilding is the short-term economic loss incurred by fishers during periods of reduced catch. However, applying an "intergenerational discounting" approach shows that the long-term benefits to future generations far outweigh these immediate costs. Rebuilt stocks can support catches ranging from 1.3 to 18 times current levels, providing a stable foundation for coastal communities (ResearchGate, 2025).

5. Governance and Policy Frameworks

5.1 Decentralized and Shared Governance

The transition from top-down state management to shared governance is a defining trend in successful restoration. This includes "co-management" models where local communities and indigenous peoples participate in decision-making. Such inclusive frameworks are essential for ensuring that rebuilding targets are perceived as legitimate and achievable by those most affected (ResearchGate, 2017).

5.2 Regionalized Management: The European and African Context

In regions like the Mediterranean and the Northeast Atlantic, regionalized management under frameworks like the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) is deemed essential for long-term sustainability (Froese et al., 2026). Similarly, the African Union's "Policy Framework and Reform Strategy" emphasizes the need for secure "use rights" and "resource rent" capture to transform fisheries into a driver of equitable social development (African Union, 2014).

6. Conclusion: Pathways to Resilient Fisheries

Rebuilding depleted fish stocks is a complex but achievable goal. It requires a three-pronged approach:

1. **Science-Based Limits:** Implementing harvest control rules that reduce exploitation below u_{MSY} (Worm et al., 2009).
2. **Climate Mitigation:** Limiting global warming to 1.5°C to preserve millions of tonnes of fishery production that would otherwise be lost to evolutionary and productivity declines (Monash University, 2026).
3. **Inclusive Governance:** Engaging stakeholders in adaptive management to bridge the gap between theoretical targets and practical implementation (Murawski, 2010; ResearchGate, 2017). While the "ugly" history of overfishing provides a cautionary tale, the "good" examples of recovery demonstrate that with political will and robust scientific foundations, the oceans can once again become a source of abundance.

References

- African Union. (2014). *Policy Framework and Reform Strategy for Fisheries and Aquaculture in Africa*. https://au.int/sites/default/files/documents/30266-doc-au-ibar_-_fisheries_policy_framework_and_reform_strategy.pdf
- Brodziak, J., et al. (2008). Rebuilding depleted fish stocks: The good, the bad, and, mostly, the ugly. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 67, 1830–1840. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsq125> Cited by: 154
- Fisheries.org. (2025). *New Report Reveals Economic Benefits of Fish and Wildlife Conservation*. <https://fisheries.org/2025/11/new-report-reveals-economic-benefits-of-fish-and-wildlife-conservation/>
- Froese, R., et al. (2026). Revisiting rebuilding options of European fisheries. *Frontiers in Marine Science*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2026.1685780>
- Monash University. (2026). *Climate action essential to protect global fish stocks, Monash sustainability expert warns*. <https://www.monash.edu/science/news-events/news/2026/climate-action-essential-to-protect-global-fish-stocks,-monash-sustainability-expert-warns>
- Murawski, S. A. (2010). Rebuilding depleted fish stocks: The good, the bad, and, mostly, the ugly. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 67(9), 1830–1840. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/275383823_Rebuilding_depleted_fish_stocks_The_good_the_bad_and_mostly_the_ugly
- PMC. (2026). Global Marine Fishery Stock Productivity Under Climate Change. *PMC*. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12970580/>
- ResearchGate. (2017). *Governance and Restoration*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/314299231_Governance_and_Restoration
- ResearchGate. (2025). *Economic and Social Benefits of Fisheries Rebuilding: Six Canadian Case Studies*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/333714046_ECONOMIC_AND_SOCIAL_BENEFITS_OF_FISHERIES_REBUILDING_SIX_CANADIAN_CASE_STUDIES
- White, C., et al. (2026). Evolutionary responses to global change reduce the sustainability of fisheries. *Science*.
- Worm, B., Hilborn, R., Baum, J. K., et al. (2009). Rebuilding Global Fisheries. *Science*, 325(5940), 578–585. https://jensen.limnology.wisc.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/147/2021/11/Worm_etal_2009_Science.pdf